APPENDIX 2

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA Charlotte Division

KEVIN TRUONG, individually and on	:	
behalf of a class of all persons and entities	:	Case No. 3:23-cv-00079-MOC-DCK
similarly situated;	:	
	:	
Plaintiff,	:	
	:	
V.	:	
	:	
TRUIST BANK,	:	
	:	
Defendant.	:	

Declaration of Timothy J. Sostrin

1. My name is Timothy Sostrin and I am one of the attorneys for Plaintiff in this action.

2. I am over the age of 18 and I have personal knowledge about the matters stated herein.

3. I am a member in good standing of the Illinois State Bar, and a partner at Keogh Law, Ltd.. I am one of the lawyers primarily responsible for prosecuting Plaintiffs and the putative class members' claims under the Telephone Consumer Protection Act ("TCPA") in this case.

4. I submit this declaration in support of the Motion for Preliminary Approval of the class action settlement agreement with the defendant, Truist Bank.

5. As shown below, my firm has regularly engaged in major complex litigation and consumer class actions involving the TCPA and other statutory privacy claims. For example, we were appointed class counsel in some of the largest TCPA settlements in the country. *See Hageman v. AT&T Mobility LLC, et al.*, No. 1:13-cv-00050-DLC-RWA (D. MT.) (Co-Lead) (Final Approval Granted February 11, 2015 providing for a \$45 million settlement for a class of 16,000 persons) and *Capital One Telephone Consumer Protection Act Litigation, et al.*, No. 12-

cv-10064 (N.D. Ill. Judge Holderman) (Liaison Counsel and additional Class Counsel) (Final Approval Granted February 12, 2015 for a \$75 million settlement). My firm has the resources necessary to conduct litigation of this nature, and has experience prosecuting class actions of similar size, scope, and complexity to the instant case. Additionally, we have often served as class counsel in similar actions.

This Litigation

6. The Parties' settlement agreement in this case was reached after extensive litigation against Defendant.

7. Truong filed the Action on February 10, 2023 on behalf of himself and a putative class of similarly situated individuals, alleging Truist placed prerecorded telephone calls to his cellular telephone number even though he was not a Truist account holder and had never consented to receive such calls. In his complaint, Truong proposed certification of a class of persons who also received prerecorded calls on their cellular telephone numbers concerning an unrelated Truist account.

On April 5, 2023, Defendant filed its answer denying Plaintiff's allegations,
 denying that class certification was appropriate, and asserting sixteen affirmative defenses. Doc.
 19. On May 2, 2023, the Court entered a Pretrial Order and Case Management Plan governing
 discovery and other case management deadlines.

9. The parties proceeded to exchange extensive discovery concerning Plaintiff's individual claims and Defendant's records of calls to potential class members, and presented discovery disputes with the court.

10. After these proceedings, the Parties participated in a private mediation with the Honorable Steven M. Gold (Ret.) of JAMS on October 7, 2024 in person in New York. The

parties exchanged mediation briefs in advance of the mediation, and vigorously defended their claims and asserted defenses during the mediation. However, the Parties were unable to reach a settlement.

11. Following the mediation, Defendant provided additional information concerning Defendant's records of calls to potential class members and, on January 17, 2025, the Parties participated in a second mediation with Judge Gold.

12. During this second mediation, the parties reached an agreement in principle to resolve the action on a classwide basis.

13. After reaching an agreement in principle on the material terms, the parties spent three more months negotiating the finer points of the formal agreement, which culminated in the Settlement Agreement executed in April 2025.

14. At all times, the settlement negotiations were arm's-length, non-collusive, and the parties have not entered into any side-deals or separate agreements in connection with the Settlement Agreement.

15. Under the Agreement, Defendant will pay \$4,100,000.00 into a non-reversionary Settlement Fund. All Settlement Class Members will receive a *pro rata* share, after payment of the costs of notice and administration and the court-approved attorneys' fee and class representative incentive award.

16. None of the Settlement Fund will revert back to Defendant.

17. The Settlement reached in this case was the product of well-informed judgments about the adequacy of the relief provided to the proposed Settlement Class. Class Counsel are intimately familiar with the relative strengths and weaknesses of the claims and defenses in this case, as well as the corresponding legal and factual issues. This knowledge—which was obtained through discovery, as well as Class Counsel's extensive experience, legal research and pre-suit investigation—was sufficient to make an informed recommendation about the value of the claims at issue, the costs, risks, and delays of protracted litigation, discovery, and appeals, and the adequacy of the class relief secured through the Settlement.

18. While I am confident in the strength of the claims alleged in this case and that Plaintiff would ultimately prevail at trial, Defendant denied all of Plaintiff's material allegations and raised numerous legal and factual issues that, if successful, could preclude any recovery for the Settlement Class.

19. Given the risks and delays posed by further litigation, as well as my considerable experience doing plaintiffs' consumer protection work, I believe the settlement is more than fair, adequate, and reasonable, and is in the best interest of the Settlement Class. Instead of facing the uncertainty of a potential award in their favor years from now, the Settlement allows Plaintiff and Settlement Class Members to receive immediate and certain relief.

20. Plaintiff played a key role in prosecuting this case and securing the proposed Settlement on behalf of the proposed Settlement Class. Specifically, Plaintiff retained experienced counsel class action litigators to bring this action, assisted his attorneys in investigating the Settlement Class's TCPA clams, reviewed and approved the Class Action Complaint prior to filing, regularly conferred with his attorneys throughout the litigation and during mediation, provided extensive discovery, and reviewed and approved the Settlement Agreement prior to signing it.

Class Counsel's Experience

21. Keogh Law, Ltd. consists of five attorneys and focuses on consumer-protection class actions. Keith Keogh is a shareholder of the firm and member of the bars of the United

States Supreme Court and Court of Appeals for the First, Second, Third, Seventh, Ninth and Eleventh Circuits, Eastern District of Wisconsin, Northern District of Illinois, Central District of Illinois, Southern District of Indiana, District of Colorado, Middle District of Florida, Southern District of Florida, the Illinois State Bar, and the Florida State Bar, as well as several bar associations and the National Association of Consumer Advocates.

22. In 2015, the National Association of Consumer Advocates honored Mr. Keogh as the Consumer Attorney of the Year for my work in courts and with the FCC insuring the safeguards of the TCPA were maintained.

23. In addition to the record settlements under the TCPA, the firm was class counsel in the largest class action settlements involving an anti-identity theft law that aims to protect the privacy of personal information, called the Fair and Accurate Credit Transactions Act. *Flaum v Doctors Associates*, 16-CV-61198-CMA (S.D. Fla.) (\$30.9 million dollars); *Martin v Safeway*, 2020 CH 5480 (\$20 million dollar common fund); *Legg v. Laboratory Corporation of America Holdings*, No. 14-cv-61543-RLR (S.D. Fla., filed July 6, 2014) (\$11 million dollars); *Legg v. Spirit Airlines, Inc.*, No. 14-cv-61978-JIC (S.D. Fla., filed Aug. 29, 2014) (\$7.5 million dollars); *Muransky v. Godiva Chocolatier, Inc.*, 15-cv-60716-WPD (S.D. Fla., filed Apr. 6, 2015) (\$6.3 million dollars) (on appeal).;

24. Mr. Keogh was also lead or class counsel in the following class settlements many of which involve the TCPA: *Breda v. Verizon* 16-cv-11512-DJC (D. Ma. 2022) (TCPA); *Braver v. Northstar Alarm Services, LLC*, No. 5:17-cv-00383-F (W.D. Okla. Nov. 3, 2020) (TCPA); *Goel v. Stonebridge of Arlington Heights, et al.*, 2018 CH 11015 (Cir. Ct. Cook Cty. Jun. 8, 2020) (III. Security Deposit Return Act, III. Security Deposit Interest Act, III. Tenant Utility Payment Disclosure Act); *Cook v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc., et al.*, No. 3:16-cv-673-BRD-JRK (M.D. Fla. Jun. 4, 2020) (TCPA); Cranor v. The Zack Group, Inc., et al., No. 4:18-cv-00628-FJG (W.D. Mo. May 18, 2020) (TCPA); Keim v. ADF MidAtlantic, LLC, 2018 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 204548 (S.D. Fla. Mar. 20, 2020) (TCPA); Guarisma v. Alpargatas USA, Inc. d/b/a Havaianas, Case No. 1:18-cv-24351-JEM (S.D. Fla. Feb. 27, 2020) (FACTA) (preliminary approval); Hennessy, et al. v. Mid-America Apartment Communities, Inc., et al., 4:17-cv-00872-BCW (W.D. Mo. Aug. 8, 2019) (Missouri Merchandising Practices Act, Missouri Security Deposit Statute); Detter v. KeyBank, N.A., No. 1616-cv-l0036 (Jackson Cty., Mo. July 12, 2019) (FCRA); Leung v XPO Logistics, Inc., 15 CV 03877 (N.D. Ill. 2018) (TCPA); Martinez v Medicredit, 4:16CV01138 ERW (E.D. Mo. 2018) (TCPA); Martin v. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., 16-cv-09483 (N.D. Ill. 2018) (FCRA); Town & Country Jewelers, LLC v. Meadowbrook Insurance Group, Inc., et al, 15-CV-02419-PGS-LHG (D. NJ. 2018) (TCPA); Legg v. AEO, 14cv-02440-VEC (TCPA) (on appeal after final approval from professional objector); *Markos v* Wells Fargo, 15-cv-01156-LMM (N.D. Ga.) (TCPA); Ossola v. Amex, 1:13-cv-04836 (N.D. Ill. 2016) (TCPA); Luster v. Wells Fargo, 15-1058-TWT (N.D. Ga.) (TCPA); Prather v Wells Fargo, 15-CV-04231-SCJ (ND. Ga) (TCPA); Joseph et al. v. TrueBlue, Inc. et al., Case No. 3:14-cv-05963 (D. Wa.) (TCPA case, \$5 million for 1,948 class members); Stahl v. RMK Mgmt. Corp., 2015 CH 13459 (Cir. Ct. Cook Cty. Sept. 14, 2017) (landlord/tenant under Chicago RLTO); Tripp v. Berman & Rabin, P.A., 2017 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 3971 (D. Kan. Jan. 9, 2017); Willett, et al. v. Redflex Traffic Systems, Inc., et al., Case No. 13-cv-01241-JCH-RHS; In re Convergent Outsourcing, Inc. Telephone Consumer Protection Act Litigation, Master Docket No. 3:13-cv-1866-AWT (D. Conn) (Interim Co-Lead); De Los Santos v Millword Brown, Inc., 9:13-cv-80670-DPG (S.D. Fl) (TCPA); Allen v. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. 13-cv-08285 (N.D. Ill. Judge Pallmeyer) (TCPA); Cooper v NelNet, 6:14-cv-314-Orl-37DAB (M.D. Fl.) (TCPA);

Thomas v Bacgroundchecks.com, 3:13-CV-029-REP (E.D. Va.) (additional class counsel); Carrero v. LVNV Funding, LLC, 11-CV-62439-KMW (S.D. Fl. 2016) (Unlicensed debt collector under Fl. law); Lopera v RMS, 12-c-9649 (N.D. Ill. Judge Wood), Kubacki v Peapod, 13-cv-729 (N.D. Ill. Judge Mason); Wojcik v. Buffalo Bills, Inc., 8:12 CV 2414-SDM-TBM (M.D. Fla.) (TCPA); Curnal v LVNV Funding, LLC., 10 CV 1667 (Wyandotte County, KS 2014) (Unlicensed debt collector under KS law); Cummings v Sallie Mae, 12 C-9984 (N.D. Ill. Judge Gottschall) (TCPA) (co-lead); Brian J. Wanca, J.D., P.C. v. L.A. Fitness International, LLC, Case No. 11-CV-4131 (Lake County, II. Judge Berrones) (TCPA); Osada v. Experian Info. Solutions, Inc., 2012 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 42330 (N.D. Ill. Mar. 28, 2012) (FCRA class); Saf-T-Gard International, Inc. v. Vanguard Energy Services, L.L.C., et al, 12-cv-3671 (N.D. Ill. 2013) Judge Gottschall) (TCPA); Saf-T-Gard v. TSI, 10-c-7671, (N.D. Ill. Judge Rowland) (TCPA); Cain v Consumer Portfolio Services, Inc. 10-cv-02697 (N.D. Ill. Judge Keys) (TCPA); Iverson v Rick Levin & Associates, 08 CH 42955 Circuit Court Cook County (Judge Cohen) (TCPA); Saf-T-Gard v Seiko, 09 C 776 (N.D. Ill. Judge Bucklo) (TCPA); Jones v. Furniture Bargains, LLC, 09 C 1070 (N.D. III) (FLSA collective action); Saf-T-Gard v. Metrolift, 07 CH 1266 Circuit Court Cook County (Judge Rochford) (Co-Lead) (TCPA); Bilek v Countrywide, 08 C 498 (N.D. Ill. Judge Gottschall); Pacer v Rochenback, 07 C 5173 (N.D. Ill. Judge Cole); Overlord Enterprises v. Wheaton Winfield Dental Associates, 04 CH 01613, Circuit Court Cook County (Judge McGann) (TCPA); Whiting v. SunGard, 03 CH 21135, Circuit Court Cook County (Judge McGann) (TCPA); Whiting v. Golndustry,03 CH 21136, Circuit Court Cook County (Judge McGann) (TCPA).

25. Mr. Keogh was the attorney primarily responsible for the following class settlements: *Wollert* v. *Client Services*, 2000 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 6485 (N.D. Ill. 2000); *Rentas* v.

Vacation Break USA, 98 CH 2782, Circuit Court of Cook County (Judge Billik); *McDonald* v.
Washington Mutual Bank, supra; Wright v. Bank One Credit Corp., 99 C 7124 (N.D. Ill. Judge
Guzman); Arriaga v. Columbia Mortgage, 01 C 2509 (N.D. Ill. Judge Lindberg); Frazier v.
Provident Mortgage, 00 C 5464 (N.D. Ill. Judge Coar); Largosa v. Universal Lenders, 99 C
5049 (N.D. Ill. Judge Leinenweber); Arriaga v. GNMortgage, (N.D. Ill. Judge Holderman);
Williams v. Mercantile Mortgage, 00 C 6441 (N.D. Ill. Judge Pallmeyer); Reid v. First American
Title, 00 C 4000 (N.D. Ill. Magistrate Judge Ashman); Fabricant v. Old Kent, 99 C 6846 (N.D.
Ill. Magistrate Judge Bobrick); Mendelovits v. Sears, 99 C 4730 (N.D. Ill. Magistrate Judge
Brown); Leon v. Washington Mutual, 01 C 1645 (N.D. Ill. Judge Alesia).

26. The individual class members' recovery in some of these settlements was substantial. For example, in one of the cases against a major bank the class members' recovery was 100% of their actual damages resulting in a payout of \$1,000 to \$9,000 per class member. In another case against a major lender regarding mortgage servicing responses, each class member who submitted a claim form received \$1,431. *McDonald v. Washington Mutual Bank.*

27. In addition, to the above settlements, Mr. Keogh was appointed class counsel in: *Keim v. ADF MidAtlantic, LLC*, 2018 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 204548 (S.D. Fla., Dec. 3, 2018)
(TCPA); *Braver v. Northstar Alarm Services, LLC*, No. 5:17-cv-00383-F (W.D. Ok 2018)
(TCPA); *In Re Convergent Outsourcing, Inc. Telephone Consumer Protection Act Litigation,* Master Docket No. 3:13-cv-1866-AWT (D. Conn) (Interim Co-Lead); *Stahl v. RMK Mgmt. Corp.*, 2015-CH-13459 (Cir. Ct. Cook Cty.) (landlord/tenant under Chicago RLTO); *Tripp v. Berman & Rabin, P.A.*, 310 F.R.D. 499 (D. Kan. 2015); *Galvan v. NCO Fin. Sys.*, 2012 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 128592 (N.D. Ill. 2012); *Osada v. Experian Info. Solutions, Inc.*, 2012 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 42330 (N.D. Ill. Mar. 28, 2012) (FCRA class); *Pesce v First Credit Services*, 11-cv01379 (N.D. Ill. December 19 2011) (TCPA Class); Smith v Greytsone Alliance, 09 CV 5585
(N.D. Ill. 2010); Cicilline v. Jewel Food Stores, Inc., 542 F.Supp.2d 831 (N.D. Ill. 2008) (Co-Lead Counsel for FACTA class); Harris v. Best Buy Co., 07 C 2559,2008 U.S. Dist. LEXIS
22166 (N.D. Ill. March 20, 2008) (FACTA class); Matthews v. United Retail, Inc., 248 F.R.D.
210 (N.D. Ill. 2008) (FACTA class); Redmon v. Uncle Julio's, Inc., 249 F.R.D. 290 (N.D. Ill.
2008) (FACTA class); Harris v. Circuit City Stores, Inc., 2008 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 12596,2008
WL 400862 (N.D. Ill. 2008) (FACTA class); Pacer v. Rockenbach Chevrolet Sales, Inc., 07 C
5173 (N.D. Ill. 2008) (FACTA class).

28. The firm has also litigated dozens of putative class actions for violations of BIPA. Quarles v. Pret A Manger (USA) Ltd., No. 20 CV 7179, 2021 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 79053, at *1 (N.D. Ill. Apr. 26, 2021); Sherman v. Brandt Indus. USA, 500 F. Supp. 3d 728, 730 (C.D. Ill. 2020); Svoboda v. Amazon.com, Inc., et al., 1:21-cv-05336 (N.D. III.); Hanlon ex rel. G.T. v. Samsung Elecs. Am., Inc., 1:21-cv-04976 (N.D. Ill.); Svoboda v. Frames for America, Inc., 1:21cv-05509 (N.D. Ill.); Steinberg v. Charles Indus., L.L.C., 2021 CH 01793 (Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty.); Ortega v. The Expediting Co., Inc., 2021 CH 00969 (Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty.); Fells v. Carl Buddig & Co., 2021 CH 00508 (Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty.); Mathews v. Brightstar US, LLC, 2021 CH 00167 (Cir. Ct. Lake Cnty.); Roberts v. Graphic Packaging Int'l, LLC, 3:21-cv-00750 (S.D. Ill.); Willem v. Karpinske Enters., L.L.C., 2021 CH 00031 (Cir. Ct. Jo Daviess Cnty., Ill.); Shafer v. Rodebrad Mgmt. Co., Inc., 2021 CH 00008 (Cir. Ct. Montgomery Cnty., Ill.); Roberts v. TDS Servs., Inc., 2021 CH 00005 (Cir. Ct. Washington Cnty., Ill.); Jenkins v. Regal Cinemas, Inc., 1:20-cv-03782 (N.D. Ill.); Turner v. Crothall Healthcare, Inc., 1:20-cv-03026 (N.D. Ill.); McFerren, et al. v. World Class Distribution, Inc., 1:20-cv-02912 (N.D. Ill.); Stein v. Clarifai, Inc., 1:20-cv-01937 (N.D. Ill.); Barton v. Swan Surfaces, LLC, 3:20-cv-00499-SPM (S.D. Ill.);

Wells v. Medieval Times U.S.A., Inc., 2020 CH 06658 (Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty.); Young v. Van Ru
Credit Corp., 2020 CH 04303 (Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty.); Marquez v. Bobak Sausage Co., 2020 CH
04259 (Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty.); Isychko v. Jidd Motors, Inc., 2020 CH 04244 (Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty.);
Heidelberg v. Forman Mills Inc., 2020 CH 04079 (Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty.); Hirmer v. Elite Med.
Transp., LLC, 2020 CH 04069 (Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty.); Magner v. SMS-NA, LLC, 2020 CH 00520
(Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty.); Gumm v. Vonachen Servs., Inc., 2020 CH 00139 (Cir. Ct. Peoria Cnty.,
Ill.); Bayeg v. The Admiral at the Lake, 2019 CH 08828 (Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty.); Bayeg v. Eden
Mgmt., LLC, 2019 CH 08821 (Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty.); Tran v. Simple Labs., LLC, 2019 CH 07937
(Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty.).

29. Some reported cases involving consumer protection include: *Cranor v. 5 Star Nutrition, LLC*, 998 F.3d 686 (5th Cir. 2021); *Breda v. Cellco P'ship*, 934 F.3d 1 (1st Cir. 2019); *Evans v. Portfolio Recovery Assocs.*, 889 F.3d 337 (7th Cir. 2018); *Susinno v. Work Out World Inc.*, 862 F.3d 346, 351 (3rd Cir. 2017) (finding a "nuisance and invasion of privacy resulting from a single prerecorded telephone call"); *Franklin v. Parking Revenue Recovery Servs.*, 832 F.3d 741 (7th Cir. 2016); *Galvan v. NCO Portfolio Mgmt. Inc.*, 794 F.3d 716, 721 (7th Cir. 2015); *Leeb v. Nationwide Credit Corp.*, 806 F.3d 895 (7th Cir. 2015); *Smith v Greystone*, 772 F.3d 448 (7th Cir. 2014); *Clark v Absolute Collection Agency*, 741 F.3d 487 (4th 2014); *Lox v. CDA, Ltd.*, 689 F.3d 818 (7th Cir. 2012); *Townsel v. DISH Network L.L.C.*, 668 F.3d 967 (7th Cir. Ill. 2012); *Catalan v. GMAC Mortgage Corp.*, No. 09-2182 (7th Cir. 2011) ; *Gburek v Litton Loan*, 614 F.3d 380 (7th Cir. 2010); *Sawyer v. Ensurance Insurance Services* consolidated with *Killingsworth v. HSBC Bank Nev.*, *NA.*, 507 F3d 614, 617 (7th Cir. 2007), *Echevarria et al.* v. *Chicago Title and Trust Co.*, 256 F3d 623 (7th Cir. 2001); *Demitro* v. *GMAC*, 388 Ill. App. 3d 15, 16 (lst Dist. 2009); *Hill* v. *St. Paul Bank*, 329 Ill. App. 3d 7051, 1768 N.E.2d 322 (lst Dist. 2002); In re Mercedes-Benz Tele Aid Contract Litig., 2009 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 35595 (D.N.J. 2009); Catalan v. RBC Mortg. Co., 2009 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 26963 (N.D. Ill. 2009); Elkins v. Equifax, Inc., 2009 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 18522 (N.D. Ill. 2009); Harris v. DirecTV Group, Inc., 2008 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 8240 (N.D. Ill. 2008); In re TJX Cos., Inc., Fair & Accurate Credit Transactions Act (FACTA) Litig., 2008 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 38258 (D. Kan. 2008); Martin v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc., 2007 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 89715 (N.D. Ill. 2007); Elkins v. Ocwen Fed. Sav. Bank Experian Info. Solutions, Inc., 2007 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 84556 (N.D. Ill. 2007); Harris v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc., 2007 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 76012 (N.D. Ill. 2007); Stegvilas v. Evergreen Motors, Inc., 2007 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 35303 (N.D. Ill. 2007); Cook v. River Oaks Hyundai, Inc., 2006 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 21646 (N. D. Ill. 2006); Gonzalez v. W. Suburban Imps., Inc., 411 F. Supp. 2d 970 (N.D. Ill. 2006); Eromon v. GrandAuto Sales, Inc., 333 F. Supp. 2d 702 (N.D. Ill. 2004); Williams v. Precision Recovery, Inc., 2004 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 6190 (N.D. Ill. 2004); Doe v. Templeton, 2003 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 24471 (N.D. Ill. 2003); Ayala v. Sonnenschein Fin. Servs., 2003 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 20148 (N.D. III. 2003); Gallegos v. Rizza Chevrolet, Inc., 2003 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 18060 (N.D. Ill. 2003); Szwebel v. Pap's Auto Sales, Inc., 2003 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 13044 (N.D. Ill. 2003); Johnstone v. Bank of America, 173 F. Supp.2d 809 (N.D. Ill. 2001); Leon v. Washington Mutual Bank, 164 F. Supp.2d 1034 (N.D. Ill. 2001); Ploog v. HomeSide Lending, 2001 WL 987889 (N.D. Ill. 2001); Christakos v. Intercounty Title, 196 F.R.D. 496 (N.D. Ill. 2000); Batten v. Bank One, 2000 WL 1364408 (N.D. Ill. 2000); McDonald v. Washington Mutual Bank, 2000 WL 875416 (N.D. Ill. 2000); and Williamson v. Advanta Mtge Corp., 1999 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 16374 (N.D. III. 1999). The Christakos case significantly broadened title and mortgage companies' liability under Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act ("RESPA") and

McDonald is the first reported decision to certify a class regarding mortgage servicing issues under the Cranston-Gonzales Amendment of RESPA.

30. Mr. Keogh has argued before the First, Fifth, Seventh, Eleventh Circuits, the First District of Illinois and the MultiLitigation Panel in various cases including *Townsel v. DISH Network L.L.C.*, 668 F.3d 967 (7th Cir. 2012); *Catalan* v *GMACM* (7th Cir. 2010); *Gburek v. Litton Loan Servicing* (7th Cir. 2009); *Sawyer* v *Esurance* (7th Cir. 2007), *Echevarria, et al. v. Chicago Title and Trust Co.* (7th Cir. 2001); *Morris* v *Bob Watson*, (lst. Dist. 2009); *Iverson v. Gold Coast Motors Inc.*, (lst. Dist. 2009); *Demitro* v. *GMAC* (1st Dist. 2008), *Hill v. St. Paul Bank* (1st Dist. 2002), and *In Re: Sears, Roebuck & Company Debt Redemption Agreements Litigation* (MDL Docket No. 1389). *Echevarria* was part of a group of several cases that resulted in a nine million dollar settlement with Chicago Title.

31. Mr. Keogh's published works include co-authoring and co-editing the 1997 supplement to *Lane's Goldstein Trial Practice Guide* and *Lane's Medical Litigation Guide*.

32. Mr. Keogh has lectured extensively on consumer litigation, including extensively on class actions and the TCPA. For example, he:

- Presented at the National Consumer Law Center 2018 annual conference on the TCPA.
- b. Presented at the 2018 Fair Debt Collection Training Conference for two sessions on the TCPA.
- c. Presented at the National Consumer Law Center 2017 annual conference on the TCPA.
- d. Presented at the National Consumer Law Center 2016 annual conference on the TCPA.

- e. Presented at the 2016 Fair Debt Collection Training Conference for a session on TCPA
- f. Developments.
- g. Presented for the National Association of Consumer Advocates November 2015 webinar titled Developments and Anticipated Impact of Recent FCC TCPA Rules.
- h. Presented at the National Consumer Law Center 2015 annual conference in San Antonio, Tx. on the TCPA.
- i. Presented at the 2015 Fair Debt Collection Training Conference for three sessions on the TCPA.
- j. Presented at the National Consumer Law Center 2014 annual conference in Tampa Fl. for two sessions on the TCPA.
- k. Panelist for the December 2013 Strafford CLE Webinar titled TCPA Class
 Actions: Pursuing or Defending Claims Over Phone, Text and Fax
 Solicitations.
- Panelist for the December 2014 Chicago Bar Association Class Action Seminar titled "Class Action Settlements in the Seventh Circuit: Navigating Turbulent Waters."
- m. Presented at the 2014 Fair Debt Collection Training Conference for three sessions on the TCPA.
- n. Panelist for the December 2013 Strafford CLE Webinar titled Class
 Actions for Telephone and Fax Solicitation and Advertising Post-Mims.

Leveraging TCPI lectured at the 2014 Fair Debt Collection Training Conference for three sessions on the TCPA.

- o. Panelist for the December 2013 Strafford CLE Webinar titled Class
 Actions for Telephone and Fax Solicitation and Advertising Post-Mims.
 Leveraging TCPA Developments in Federal Jurisdiction, Class Suitability, and New Technology.
- p. Presented for the National Association of Consumer Advocates November 2013 webinar titled Current Telephone Consumer Protection Act Issues Regarding Cell Phones.
- q. Presenter for the November 2013 Chicago Bar Association Class Action
 Committee presentation titled Future of TCPA Class Actions.
- r. Speaker at the Social Security Administration's Chicago office in August 2013 on a presentation on identity theft, which included consumers' rights under the Fair Credit Reporting Act.
- s. Panelist for the May 14, 2013 Chicago Bar Association Class Action Seminar titled "The Shifting Landscape of Class Litigation" as well as for the March 20, 2013 Strafford CLE webinar titled "Class Actions for Telephone and Fax Solicitation and Advertising Post-Mims. Leveraging TCPA Developments in Federal Jurisdiction, Class Suitability, and New Technology."
- Lectured at the June 6, 2013 Consumer Law Committee of the Chicago
 Bar Association on the topic "Employment Background Reports under the

Fair Credit Reporting Act: Improper consent forms to failure to provide background report prior to adverse action."

- u. Lectured at the 2013 Fair Debt Collection Training Conference for three sessions on the TCPA.
- v. Presented at the 2012 National Consumer Law Center annual conference for a session on the TCPA.
- w. Presented at the 2012 Fair Debt Collection Training Conference for a session on the TCPA.
- x. Panelist for Solutions for Employee Classification & Wage/Hour Issues at the 2011 Annual Employment Law Conference hosted by Law Bulletin Seminars.
- y. Lectured at the 2011 National Consumer Law Center conference for a session titled Telephone Consumer Protection Act: Claims, Scope,
 Remedies as well as lectured at the same 2011 National Consumer Law
 Center conference for a double session titled ABC's of Class Actions.
- z. Taught *Defenses to Foreclosures* for Lorman Education Services, which was approved for CLE credit, in 2008 and 2010.
- aa. Guest lecturer on privacy issues at University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign School of Law. In March 2010.
- bb. Guest speaker for the Legal Services Office of The Graduate School and Kellogg MBA Program at Northwestern University for its seminar titled:
 "Financial Survival Guide: Legal Strategies for Graduate Students During A Period of Economic Uncertainty."

33. Mr. Keogh was selected as an Illinois Super Lawyer from 2014 through 2022 and an Illinois Super Lawyer Rising Star each year from 2008 through 2013, and my cases have been featured in local newspapers such as the Chicago Tribune, Chicago Sun-Times, The Naperville Sun, Daily Herald and RedEye.

34. Timothy J. Sostrin is a partner in the firm joining in 2011. He is a member in good standing of the Illinois bar, the U.S. District Court District of Colorado, U.S. District Court Northern District of Illinois, U.S. District Court Northern and Southern Districts of Indiana, U.S. District Court Eastern and Western Districts of Michigan, U.S. District Court Eastern District of Missouri, U.S. District Court Southern District of Texas and U.S. District Court Eastern and Western District of Texas and U.S. District Court Eastern and Western District of Texas and U.S. District Court Eastern and Western Districts of Michigan, U.S. District Court Eastern and Western District of Texas and U.S. District Court Eastern and Western Districts of Michigan, U.S. District Court Eastern and Western District of Texas and U.S. District Court Eastern and Western Districts of Michigan, U.S. District Court Eastern and Western District of Texas and U.S. District Court Eastern and Western Districts of Michigan, U.S. District Court Eastern and Western District of Texas and U.S. District Court Eastern and Western Districts of Wisconsin.

35. Timothy J. Sostrin has zealously represented consumers in Illinois and in federal litigation nationwide against creditors, debt collectors, retailers, and other businesses engaging in unlawful practices. Tim has extensive experience with consumer claims brought under the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, The Telephone Consumer Protection Act, the Fair Credit Reporting Act, the Electronic Fund Transfer Act, and Illinois law.

36. Tim was appointed lead or co-class counsel in the following TCPA cases: *Lee v. Global Tel*Link Corporation*, 2018 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 163410 (C.D. Cal. 2018); *Braver v. Northstar Alarm Service*, *LLC*, 329 F.R.D. 320 (W.D. Okla. 2018); *Susinno v. Work Out World*, *Inc.*, 333 F.R.D. 354 (D. N.J. 2019); *Johnson v. Yahoo!*, *Inc.*, 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 256 (N.D.
Ill. 2016); *Leung v. XPO Logistics*, 326 F.R.D. 185 (N.D. Ill. 2018); *Willett, et al. v. Redflex Traffic Systems, Inc., et al.*, Case No. 13-cv-01241-JCH-RHS (D. New Mexico); *Martinez v. Medicredit, Inc.*, 2018 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 81818 (E.D. Mo. 2018); *Saf T-Gard International, Inc. v. Vanguard Energy Services, LLC*, (2012 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 174222 (N.D. Ill. December 6,

2012); *Saf-T-Gard v Transworld Systems, Inc.*, 10-c-7671, (N.D. Ill., final approval granted September 17, 2013).

37. Tim was also appointed lead or co-class counsel in the following consumer
protection class actions: *Hill v. Asset Acceptance, LLC*, 2014 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 91190 (S.D. Cal.
2014); *Osada v. Experian Info. Solutions*, Inc., 2012 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 42330 (N.D. Ill. Mar. 28,
2012); *Galvan v. NCO Financial Systems, Inc.*, 2012 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 128592 (N.D. Ill. 2012).

38. Tim is a member of the National Association of Consumer Advocates and ISBA.He received his Juris Doctorate, *cum laude*, from Tulane University Law School in 2006.

39. In 2014, Michael Hilicki joined the firm. He has spent nearly all of his more-than 20-year legal career helping individuals subjected to unfair and deceptive business practices, and violations of their state and federal rights. He is experienced in a variety of areas including, but not limited to, the Illinois Biometric Information Privacy Act, the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, Truth-in-Lending Act, Fair Credit Reporting Act, Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act, Illinois Consumer Fraud & Deceptive Business Practices Act, Telephone Consumer Protection Act, Fair Labor Standards Act, the Illinois Security Deposit Interest Act, Illinois Security Deposit Return Act, Chicago Residential Landlord Tenant Ordinance (RLTO), and the Illinois Wage & Hour Law. He is experienced in all aspects of litigation, including arbitrations, trials, and appeals. He was selected as an Illinois Super Lawyer for 2021 and 2022.

40. Examples of the numerous certified class actions in which Michael has represented consumers or workers include: *Guarisma v. Alpargatas USA, Inc. d/b/a Havaianas,* 2020 CH 7426 (Cir. Ct. Cook Cty.); *Goel v. Stonebridge of Arlington Heights, et al.*, 2018 CH 11015 (Cir. Ct. Cook Cty.); *Muransky v. Godiva Chocolatier, Inc.*, No. 15-cv-60716-WPD (S.D. Fla.); *Guarisma v. Microsoft Corp.*, No. 15-cv-24326-CMA (S.D. Fla.); *Stahl v. RMK Mgmt*. Corp., 2015 CH 13459 (Cir. Ct. Cook Cty.); Altman v. White House Black Market, Inc., 15-cv-2451-SCJ (N.D. Ga.); Legg v. Spirit Airlines, Inc., No. 14-cv-61978-CIV-JIC (S.D. Fla.); Legg v. Laboratory Corporation of America, Holdings, Inc., No. 14-cv-61543-RLR (S.D. Fla.); Joseph v. TrueBlue, Inc., 14-cv-5963-BHS (W.D. Wash.); In Re Convergent Outsourcing, Inc. Telephone Consumer Protection Act Litigation, Master Docket No. 3:13-cv-1866-AWT (D. Conn); Tripp v. Berman & Rabin, P.A., 310 F.R.D. 499 (D. Kan. 2015); Lanteri v. Credit Protection Ass'n, L.P., 2018 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 166345 (S.D. Ind. Sept. 26, 2018); Eibert v. Jaburg & Wilk, P.C., 13-cv-301 (D. Minn.); Kraskey v. Shapiro & Zielke, LLP, 11-cv-3307 (D. Minn.); Short v. Anastasi & Associates, P.A., 11-cv-1612 SRN/JSM (D. Minn.); Kimball v. Frederick J. Hanna & Associates, P.C., 10-cv-130 MJD/JJG (D. Minn.); Murphy v. Capital One Bank, 08 C 801 (N.D. Ill.); Nettles v. Allstate Ins. Co., 02 CH 14426 (Cir. Ct. Cook Cty.); Sanders v. OSI Educ. Servs., Inc., 01 C 2081 (N.D. Ill.); Kort v. Diversified Collection Servs., Inc., 01 C 0689 (N.D. Ill.); Hamid v. Blatt Hasenmiller, et al., 00 C 4511 (N.D. Ill.); Durkin v. Equifax Check Servs., Inc., 00 C 4832 (N.D. Ill.); Torres v. Diversified Collection Services, et al., 99-cv-00535 (RL-APR) (N.D. Ind.); Morris v. Trauner Cohen & Thomas, 98 C 3428 (N.D. Ill.), Mitchell v. Schumann, 97 C 240 (N.D. Ill.); Pandolfi, et al. v. Viking Office Prods., Inc., 97 CH 8875 (Cir. Ct. Cook Cty.); Trull v. Microsoft Corp., 97 CH 3140 (Cir. Ct. Cook Cty.); Deatherage v. Steven T. Rosso, P.A., 97 C 0024 (N.D. Ill.); Young v. Meyer & Njus, P.A., 96 C 4809 (N.D. Ill.); Newman v. Boehm, Pearlstein & Bright, Ltd., 96 C 3233 (N.D. Ill.); Holman v. Red River Collections, Inc., 96 C 2302 (N.D. Ill.); Farrell v. Frederick J. Hanna, 96 C 2268 (N.D. Ill.); Blum v. Fisher and Fisher, 96 C 2194 (N.D. Ill.); Riter v. Moss & Bloomberg, Ltd., 96 C 2001 (N.D. Ill.); Clayton v. Cr Sciences Inc., 96 C 1401 (N.D. Ill.); Thomas v. MAC/TCS Inc., Ltd., 96 C 1519 (N.D. Ill.); Young v. Bowman,

et al., 96 C 1767 (N.D. Ill.); *Depcik v. Mid-Continent Agencies, Inc.*, 96 C 8627 (N.D. Ill.); and *Dumetz v. Alkade, Inc.*, 96 C 4002 (N.D. Ill.).

41. Michael also has successfully argued a number of appeals, including *Muransky v. Godiva Chocolatier, Inc.*, 922 F.3d 1175 (11th Cir. 2019) (*vacated for rehearing en banc*); *Evans v. Portfolio Recovery Assocs., LLC*, 889 F.3d 337 (7th Cir. 2018); *Franklin v. Parking Rev. Recovery Servs.*, 832 F.3d 741 (7th Cir. 2016); *Smith v. Greystone Alliance, LLC*, 772 F.3d 448 (7th Cir. 2014); *Shula v. Lawent*, 359 F.3d 489 (7th Cir. 2004); and *Weizeorick v. ABN AMRO Mortg. Group, Inc.*, 337 F.3d 827 (7th Cir. 2003).

42. Michael has lectured on consumer law issues at Upper Iowa University, the Chicago Bar Association, and the National Consumer Law Center. He is a member of the Trial Bar of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois, and he has represented consumers in state and federal courts around the country on a *pro hac vice* basis.

43. Michael's published work includes "AND THE SURVEY SAYS..." When Is Evidence of Actual Consumer Confusion Required to Win a Case Under Section 1692g of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act in the Seventh Circuit?, 13 Loy. Consumer L. Rev. 224 (2001).

44. In March 2018, Theodore H. Kuyper joined the firm. Ted is currently a member in good standing of the Illinois State Bar, the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois, and the Seventh Circuit Court of Appeals, and has been admitted to practice *pro hac vice* in several additional United States District Courts.

45. Ted has diverse experience prosecuting and defending class action and other large-scale litigation in trial and appellate courts under a variety of substantive laws, including without limitation the Illinois Biometric Information Privacy Act, the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, the Racketeer Influenced & Corrupt Organizations Act (RICO), the Fair Credit Reporting Act, the Illinois Consumer Fraud & Deceptive Business Practices Act, and the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act, as well as Illinois and other state statutory and common law.

46. Since joining the firm, Ted has represented consumers as counsel of record or otherwise in the following putative class actions: Gebka v. Allstate Ins. Co., No. 1:19-cv-06662 (N.D. Ill.) (TCPA); Cranor v. The Zack Group, Inc., et al., No. 4:18-cv-00628-FJG (W.D. Mo. May 18, 2020) (TCPA); Svoboda v. Amazon.com, Inc., et al., 1:21-cv-05336 (N.D. III.) (BIPA); Hanlon ex rel. G.T. v. Samsung Elecs. Am., Inc., 1:21-cv-04976 (N.D. Ill.) (BIPA); Svoboda v. Frames for America, Inc., 1:21-cv-05509 (N.D. Ill.) (BIPA); Jenkins v. Regal Cinemas, Inc., 1:20-cv-03782 (N.D. III.) (BIPA); McFerren, et al. v. World Class Distribution, Inc., 1:20-cv-02912 (N.D. Ill.) (BIPA); Stein v. Clarifai, Inc., 1:20-cv-01937 (N.D. Ill.) (BIPA); Gumm v. Vonachen Servs., Inc., 2020 CH 00139 (Cir. Ct. Peoria Cnty., Ill.) (BIPA); Detter v. KeyBank, N.A., No. 1616-cvl0036 (Jackson Cty., Mo. July 12, 2019) (FCRA); Cranor v. Skyline Metrics, LLC, No. 4:18-cv-00621-DGK (W.D. Mo.) (TCPA); Cranor v. Classified Advertising Ventures, LLC, et al., No. 4:18-cv-00651-HFS (W.D. Mo.) (TCPA); Morgan v. Adventist Health System/Sunbelt, Inc., No. 6:18-cv-01342-PGB-DCI (M.D. Fla.) (TCPA); Burke v. Credit One Bank, N.A., et al., No. 8:18-cv-00728-EAK-TGW (M.D. Fla.) (TCPA); Morgan v. Orlando Health, Inc., et al., No. 6:17-cv-01972-CEM-GJK (M.D. Fla.) (TCPA); Motiwala v. Mark D. Guidubaldi & Associates, LLC, No. 1:17-cv-02445 (N.D. Ill.) (TCPA); and Buja v. Novation *Capital, LLC*, No. 9:15-cv-81002-KAM (S.D. Fla.) (TCPA).

47. Immediately prior to joining Keogh Law, Ted worked at a boutique Chicago law firm where he represented clients in a range of complex commercial and other litigation, including contract, tort, professional liability, premises and products liability, bad faith and class action. Previously, he was an associate at a nationally-renowned class action law firm, where he focused on complex commercial, consumer, class action and other large-scale, high-stakes litigation.

48. Ted earned his Juris Doctorate from Washington University School of Law in St. Louis in 2007. During law school, he worked as a Summer Extern for Magistrate Judge Morton Denlow (Ret.) of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois, served as primary editor and executive board member of the Global Studies Law Review, and authored a student note that was published in 2007. Ted also earned a number of scholarships and other academic accolades, including the Honors Scholar Award (top 10% for academic year) and repeated appearances on the Dean's List.

49. Gregg Barbakoff joined the firm in October 2019. Gregg is a civil litigator who focuses his practice on consumer law, with extensive experience litigating individual and class claims arising under the Illinois Biometric Information Privacy Act, Telephone Consumer Protection Act, Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, Truth-in-Lending Act, Fair Credit Reporting Act, Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act, Illinois Consumer Fraud and Deceptive Practices Act, Magnuson-Moss Warranty Act, and various consumer protection statutes.

50. Gregg graduated magna cum laude from the Chicago-Kent College of Law, where he was elected to the Order of the Coif. While in law school, Gregg received the Class of 1976 Honors Scholarship, competed as a senior member of the Chicago-Kent Moot Court Team, and served as an editor for The Seventh Circuit Review, in which he was also published. Gregg earned his undergraduate degree from the University of Colorado at Boulder.

51. Gregg was selected as an Illinois Super Lawyer in 2022 and an Illinois Super Lawyer Rising Star from 2015 through 2021. In addition, Gregg was named an Associate

Fellow by the Litigation Counsel of America. He is licensed to practice in the State of Illinois, the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois, and the United States Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit.

52. Prior to joining Keogh Law, Gregg worked at a mid-size litigation firm that specialized in consumer litigation, and leading plaintiff's firm that focused on commercial disputes and consumer class actions.

53. The following are representative class actions in which Gregg has served as counsel of record or otherwise: Roberts v. TIAA, FSB (Case No. 2019 CH 04089, Cook County, Ill.); Corrigan v. Seterus (Case No. 17-cv-02348); Gentleman v. Mass. Higher Ed. Corp., et al (Case No. 16-cv-3096, N.D. III.); Cibula v. Seterus (Case No. 2015CA010910, Palm Beach County, Fla.); Ciolini v. Seterus (Case No. 15-cv-09427, N.D. Ill.); Mednick v. Precor Inc. (Case No. 14-cv-03624, N.D. III.); Illinois Nut & Candy Home of Fantasia Confections, LLC v. Grubhub, Inc., et al. (Case No. 14-cv-00949, N.D. Ill.); Dr. William P. Gress et al. v. Premier Healthcare Exchange West, Inc. (Case No. 14-cv-501, N.D. Ill.); Stephan Zouras LLP v. American Registry LLC (Case No. 14-cv-943, N.D. Ill.); Mullins v. Direct Digital (Case No. 13cv-01829, N.D. Ill.); In Re Prescription Pads TCPA Litigation (Case No. 13-cv-06897, N.D. Ill); Townsend v. Sterling (Case No. 13-cv-3903, N.D. III); Windows Plus, Incorporated v. Door Control Services, Inc. (Case No. 13-cv-07072, N.D. III); In re Energizer Sunscreen Litigation (Case No. 13-cv-00131, N.D. III.); Padilla v. DISH Network LLC (Case No. 12-cv-07350, N.D. Ill.); Lloyd v. Employment Crossing (Case No. BC491068 (Los Angeles County, Cal.); In re Southwest Airlines Voucher Litigation (Case No. 11-cv-8176, N.D. Ill.).

I declare under penalty of perjury of the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed at Chicago, Illinois this 11th day of April, 2025.

Timothy J. Sostrin